

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 10992153-1 (2003309-0013) 6991 04/17/2001 Jeffrey R. Sampson 09/836,012 EXAMINER 01/14/2004 22878 7590 SIEW, JEFFREY AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC. INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION, LEGAL DEPT. PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT P.O. BOX 7599 1637 M/S DL429 LOVELAND, CO 80537-0599 DATE MAILED: 01/14/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		09/836,012	SAMPSON ET AL.	
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Jeffrey Siew	1637	
	The MAILING DATE of this commun	nication appears on the cover shee	t with the correspondence address	·
	or Reply			
THE - External frequency of the control of the cont	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN ensions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this coming the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (5) of period for reply is specified above, the maximum source to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, ma munication. 30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of tatutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) will by statute cause the application to become	y a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this commun e ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ication.
1) ⊠	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on 10 October 2003.		
,		2b)⊠ This action is non-final.		
3)□		for allowance except for formal n	natters, prosecution as to the mer C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	its is
isposit	tion of Claims			
4)	Claim(s) 1-79 is/are pending in the	application.		
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>18-73</u> is/a			
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-6,74,75 and 77-80</u> is/are	e rejected.		
7)🛛	Claim(s) <u>7-14 and 76</u> is/are objecte	ed to.		
8)🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-79</u> are subject to restrict	tion and/or election requirement.		
pplica	tion Papers			
] The specification is objected to by the			
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	e: a)□ accepted or b)□ objected	I to by the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any obj	ection to the drawing(s) be held in ab	eyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	ng the correction is required if the draw	ving(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.	121(d).
11)[The oath or declaration is objected	to by the Examiner. Note the atta	ched Office Action or form PTO-1	52.
riority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim All b			
	2. Certified copies of the priorit3. Copies of the certified copiesapplication from the Internat	y documents have been received s of the priority documents have b ional Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	in Application No een received in this National Stag	ge
13)	See the attached detailed Office act Acknowledgment is made of a claim since a specific reference was includ 37 CFR 1.78.	for domestic priority under 35 U.S. led in the first sentence of the spe	S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional appoint cification or in an Application Dat	olication) a Sheet.
14)[]	a) The translation of the foreign landscape The translation of the foreign landscape Acknowledgment is made of a claim reference was included in the first se	for domestic priority under 35 U.S	5.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a sp	pecific R 1.78.
Attachme	ent(s)			
I) 🔲 No	tice of References Cited (PTO-892) tice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review		riew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) e of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152	

Application/Control Number: 09/836,012

Art Unit: 1637

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with traverse of Group I in is acknowledged. As no argument was presented, the restriction requirement is maintained.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claims 18-73 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in filed 10/10/03. Pending claims are 1-17 & 74-80.

Double Patenting

2. A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

Claims 1-5, 6, 75,77& 78 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1-5,7,56,61 & 63 of prior U.S. Patent No. 6,218,118. This is a double patenting rejection.

Application/Control Number: 09/836,012

Art Unit: 1637

3. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 74,79 & 80 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 61, 64 & 65 of U.S. Patent No. 6,218,118.

Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because claim 74 is drawn to kit with mixture of claim 1 and enzyme having nucleotide polymerase activity. Claim 61 of US 6,218,118 is drawn to kit with mixture of claim 1 with DNA ligase which is species of the broad genus of instant claim 74. The species renders the genus obvious.

Claim 79 is drawn to kit with mixture of claim 1, DNA ligase and array. Claim 64 of US 6,218,118 is drawn to mixture of claim 1, DNA ligase, array with cleavable linker which is species of the broad genus of instant claim 79. The species renders the genus obvious.

Claim 80 is drawn to kit with mixture of claim 1, condensing agent and array. Claim 65 of US 6,218,118 is drawn to mixture of claim 1, condensing agent, array with cleavable linker which is species of the broad genus of instant claim 80. The species renders the genus obvious.

Art Unit: 1637

SUMMARY

4. Claims 7-14 & 76 are objected to for depending of rejected claim.

CONCLUSION

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeffrey Siew whose telephone number before January 22, 2003 is (703) 305-3886 and thereafter can be reached at 571-272-0787. The e-mail address is Jeffrey.Siew@uspto.gov. However, the office cannot guarantee security through the e-mail system nor should official papers be transmitted through this route. The examiner is on flex-time schedule and can best be reached on weekdays from 6:30 a.m. to 3 p.m. If attempts to reach the examiner are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Benzion, can be reached on (703)-308-1119.

Any inquiry of a general nature, matching or filed papers or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the <u>Tracey Johnson</u> for Art Unit 1637 whose telephone number is (703)-305-2982.

Papers related to this application may be submitted to Group 1600 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Group 1600 via the PTO Fax Center located in Crystal Mall 1. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989). The CM1 Center numbers for Group 1600 are Voice (703) 308-3290 and FAX (703)-308-4242.

JEFFREY SIEW
PRIMARY EXAMINER